
Nepalese diasporic websites: Signs and conditions of a diaspora in the making?

Social Science Information
51(4) 593–610
© The Author(s) 2012
Reprints and permission:
sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/0539018412456916
ssi.sagepub.com



Tristan Bruslé

Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Villejuif

Abstract

Since the beginning of the 21st century, the expression ‘Nepalese diaspora’ has increasingly been used by the Nepalese government, expatriates, reporters and intellectuals. The Nepalese diaspora, or those who speak on behalf of it, occupies a growing amount of Nepalese public space, especially on the Internet. Taking into account the performativity of the term ‘diaspora’, the author tries to understand how Nepalese diasporic websites are the sign and conditions of an ‘incipient diaspora’. Analysis of authoritative websites and links between different websites helps understand the structure of the Nepalese diaspora and the issues at stake. The Internet is hardly egalitarian and reflects the tensions in Nepalese society. However, links between websites are real. Non-Resident Nepalis’ Association websites are central to the associative Web, and cultural association websites are in the majority. The ‘etic’ study of ‘emic’ discourses of self-presentation by the diaspora shows that, through their public and performative dimensions, Nepalese diasporic websites are at the very heart of the process of constructing the Nepalese diaspora.

Keywords

diaspora, hierarchy, Internet, Nepal, performativity, Web

Résumé

Depuis le début des années 2000, l’expression ‘diaspora népalaise’ est de plus en plus employée par les expatriés, les journalistes, les intellectuels et le gouvernement népalais. La diaspora népalaise, ou tout du moins les instances qui disent la représenter, prend une place importante dans l’espace public népalais et sur le web en particulier. En prenant en compte l’aspect performatif du terme, il m’importe de comprendre comment les sites

Corresponding author:

Tristan Bruslé, CNRS, 7 rue Guy Moquet, Villejuif 94801, France
Email: tristan.brusle@gmail.com

web sont le signe d'une 'diaspora en formation' ('incipient diaspora'). L'analyse des liens entre les sites et des sites d'autorité permet de comprendre la structure de la diaspora népalaise et les enjeux qui la traversent. La prise de parole dans l'espace public qu'est le web est inégalitaire et reflète aussi les tensions de la société népalaise. Il n'empêche que les liens entre sites sont réels, que les sites de l'association NRN fédèrent le web associatif et que ceux des associations culturelles sont largement majoritaires. L'étude 'etic' des discours 'emic' de présentation de soi par la diaspora montre que les sites web diasporiques népalais, par leur dimension publique et performative, sont au cœur du processus de construction de la diaspora népalaise.

Mots-clés

diaspora, hiérarchie, Internet, Népal, performativité, Web

Since the beginning of the new millennium, the term 'Nepalese diaspora' has been used more and more by the Nepalese government, reporters and intellectuals. Created in 2003 along the lines of the Non-Resident Indian Association, the Non-Resident Nepali Association (NRNA) has been trying to federate Nepalese people residing abroad and to play an economic and political role in the country's destiny.¹ Diasporic claims go hand in hand with the surge in international labor migration that emerged from the end of the 1990s onwards.² Prior to then, the Nepalese diaspora did not exist because no-one talked about it *as such*, with the exception of Hutt (1997) and Pradhan (1991).³ Today, determining the extent of the Nepalese diaspora or the number of Nepalese expatriates is still a difficult undertaking (Sharma & Sharma, 2011). Outside of Nepal, between three and six million people could claim to be of Nepalese descent to one degree or another, whilst the actual Nepalese population amounts to 28 million.

In a scientific context where the term 'diaspora' is used in diverse ways (Brubaker, 2005) and where the term has been taken up by scattered groups as part of a strategy aimed at self-designation and at claiming their rights (Ma Mung, 2006), it is not surprising that the Nepalese have also appropriated it. The NRNA aspires to become a showcase for Nepalese expatriates, to ensure them an existence and power. As their spokesman, it uses the term 'diaspora' in an uncompromising way. However, the *etic* discourse might qualify it as an 'incipient diaspora' or a diaspora 'in the making' (Sheffer, 2003).

Diasporic websites have developed at the same time as public awareness of this dispersion emerged. Run by expatriate community members, these sites help 'the diasporic process, that is [they support] the members' consciousness or the awareness about a common identity, declare it to the world, found it and organize the community self-assigned roles' (Scopsi, 2009: 92). The word 'diaspora' is commonly used, but few questions seem to be asked about it. From Ma Mung's perspective (2000), according to whom a diaspora is characterized by multipolarity, interolarity and the consciousness of dispersion, the reason for this approach by observing the diaspora through its websites is to study the links that connect all the websites. The e-Diasporas project, thanks to its tools of analysis, helps us to understand the role of

an e-diaspora in the creation of a social group one of whose problems is building a community despite, or rather by making use of, its dispersal (Ma Mung, 2006).⁴ As the links between websites mirror the links between people—‘there is no binary opposition between real life and virtual life’ (Gajjala, 2006)—websites are both a way of creating a diaspora, and an expression and a means of self-assertion and of teaming up. The question of the performativity of the term ‘diaspora’ must be addressed with regard to relations and power within the group itself. The validity of the assertion made by Winkin (2006: 141), according to whom ‘to control new information technologies is to ensure a new right to be seen and heard, which disrupts traditional power relations’, will also be questioned since access to the Web and to airing one’s opinion on the Web is still uneven.

What follows is a preliminary study of the Nepalese diasporic Web in an attempt to understand how it is organized, the stakes involved and any tensions present. I have set out to answer the following questions: How do websites help to ‘form a society’ in a dispersion situation? What does the structure of the Nepalese diasporic Web reveal? What are its main strengths and its points of convergence? In short, how are diasporic websites both an expression and a condition of the Nepalese diaspora?

Having analyzed the structure of the Nepalese diasporic websites and website categories, I show how the links between sites allow us to talk of an e-diaspora. In a more exploratory section, I tackle the question of how the diaspora is formed and how it unites expatriates via its websites.

I The structure of the web of Nepalese people from outside

The mobility of people outside Nepal is nothing new. Outmigration of Gurkha mercenaries and of workers to British India was encouraged during colonial times. Patterns of migration to India, whether temporary or permanent, have been superseded since the end of the 1990s by massive migration to the Gulf countries, Malaysia and, to a lesser extent, to Western countries. The Nepalese diasporic Web, which is dense and dominated by voluntary organizations, partly reflects this geographic dispersion.

1.1 A dense yet barely clustered graph

The Nepalese diasporic website graph (see **Graph Nepalese-1** in the colour section of this Issue)⁵ reveals no clear clusters of websites. According to the graph, there seems to be strong website connectivity organized around a set of websites whose organizing role can be estimated by the size of the nodes observed. The Nepalese corpus density of 1% is average when compared to that of the other corpora (Hmong 1.7%, Palestinians 4.4 %, Sikhs 3.1 %, Indians 0.4 %). A series of 57 websites with no link to the rest of the corpus have been found manually, without the help of the webcrawler, Navicrawler.

As far as the making of a diaspora is concerned, the fact that nodes, that is sites, are close to each other and form a dense mass, is already a first finding. It expresses the strength of the links between local and global communities.

1.2 Voluntary organization websites lead the way

To analyze the diasporic Web, relevant categories of analysis have to be created which are both heuristically productive and which correspond to existing forms. Five categories emerged when compiling the corpus (see Table 1).

The 'voluntary organization' category largely dominates the corpus, comprising 56% of all diasporic websites. The 'type of website' subgraph (see **Graph Nepalese-2**, in the colour section of this Issue)⁶ shows the ubiquity of these websites, situated at the center and on the periphery of the graph. They are a major component of close-knit diasporic communities. Though non-government organization websites are closely linked to each other, there are more inbound and outbound links with the websites in the 'media' category (the second one). From their names, *Marylandnepal.com* or *Tamu Samaj Belgium*, for example, one realizes that two-thirds of them contain the name of their country of residence or of origin. There is a strong sense of territorial attachment.

The distribution of the 'media' category is less uniform than the 'voluntary organization' category and has a high internal density, which is a sign of a high number of links between sites. Eighty percent of the latter are portals that provide news about Nepal and Nepalese people in the world ('Keeping the diaspora connected always' is, for example, the motto of *Nepalhorizons.com*) and, to a lesser extent, about the place from where they post information. Besides these news sites, those geared to entertainment (radio, movies, songs) provide the Internet user with a direct link to the Nepalese folk culture. In some of Qatar's migrant worker *labor camps* (the term used in the Gulf to refer to dwelling-places for migrants) which have an Internet connection, *canadanepal.net* is one of the most popular websites because it enables migrants to view the latest Nepalese movies on

Table 1. Nepali diaspora website categories (<http://maps.e-diasporas.fr/resources/templates/actions/viewsvg.php>)

Type of website	Number	Proportion (%)	Inbound density	Internal density	Outbound density
Voluntary organization ^a	262	56	0.5	1.0	1.0
Media ^b	89	19	1.5	5.4	1.0
Company ^c	66	14	0.3	0.1	0.2
Institution ^d	29	6	0.9	2.5	0.4
Individual ^e	23	5	0.5	1.1	0.9

^aFive websites of cultural, humanitarian, ethnic organizations, etc. The voluntary feature is explicit in the name of the site or of the entity that runs it.

^bMost media websites are free websites offering news about Nepal (many are news aggregators that are barely customized) and about the country/region of residence (run by members of this community at regional or town level). They also provide information about the local Nepalese community, and they broadcast news, video clips and pirated Nepali movies.

^cCompany websites are showcases for businesses run by Nepalese people abroad (accountants, lawyers, travel agencies, restaurants, online shops, etc.).

^dInstitution websites are predominantly run by Nepalese embassies. A few official websites and the websites of research centers complete this category.

^eThe 'individual' category groups websites and blogs run by an individual or a family. Only a few of them are really active.

streaming websites (Bruslé, 2012). The ‘media’ category is definitely oriented towards the homeland. Since the ‘organization’ category is the biggest, and news about Nepal remains a focus for Nepalese expatriates, these two categories have the most links.

The ‘company’ category is clearly situated on the graph’s periphery. Although 50% are located in the United States, their authority remains marginal. The 66 company websites have a stronger link to media websites than to the rest of the corpus through advertising, but their ‘ethnic business’ activity remains marginal.

The ‘institution’ category is made up mainly of Nepalese Embassy websites, which do not occupy a central position in the organization of the diaspora, apart from the Nepalese Embassy website in Great Britain. The Ministry of Foreign Affairs website is an important authoritative site because it is often cited, in particular by the diplomatic network.

The last category, ‘individual’, represents 5% of all websites and is made up of a majority of blogs, a great many of which are not active. Strangely enough, *rajan.com*, a family-run website, dominates this category even though it has not been active since 2009. Is it because it was one of the first personal websites to be run by a Nepalese expatriate living in the United States? The fact remains that individual websites occupy very little space on the Web and are barely acknowledged: they are seldom cited, as if only collective commitment were legitimate. However, individual expressions take place in forums (*Sajha.com*) and on the media websites (like *nepalitimes.com*).

This rapid survey of Nepalese diasporic websites shows domination by private collective actors. Non-government associations are a key element in organizing the diaspora, since the Nepalese state is absent, contrary to that of India, the Philippines or Croatia, which have official authorities to monitor the diaspora. Non-Resident Nepalese (NRN) status was defined by the Nepalese government in 2007 and led to the creation of NRN and PNO (*Person of Nepalese Origin*) cards, which facilitate the coming and going of people and investments by people of Nepalese origin who have taken up foreign citizenship and have therefore forsaken their Nepalese citizenship. Although the government supports NRN’s economic initiatives, it has so far rejected any demands for dual citizenship. The Nepalese national identity remains exclusive.

2 Chosen interconnections

Just as ‘transnational diasporic life requires nodes in its networks, nodes marked by a relative sedentariness’ (Tölölyan, 2007: 654), the diasporic Web also needs a certain degree of fixity to guarantee its future. As Tölölyan reminds us, for a transnational network to exist, communities belonging to it need geographical stability: this is also a condition for the existence of websites and a sign of their becoming an integral part of the public sphere.

2.1 The Nepalese diasporic web in the global geographical space

The graph of the geography of diasporic websites (**Graph Nepalese-3**, in the colour section of this Issue),⁷ where the sites are scattered over 38 countries, does not reflect how Nepalese people are dispersed worldwide. When compared to the actual localization of websites, estimates of Nepalese communities living outside their country⁸ show the




Figure 1. Country-related distribution of Nepalese diasporic websites.

Table 2. Distribution of Nepali diaspora websites according to country of residence and densities for countries with more than nine websites

Country	Websites (n ≥ 9)	Proportion of websites in total (%)	Links (%) related to country	Inbound density	Internal density	Outbound density	Total density
USA	151	32	45	0.7	2.2	0.4	1.1
UK	71	15	13	0.4	2.1	0.3	0.7
Australia	33	7	11	0.4	9.5	0.6	1
Canada	23	5	9	0.9	9.5	0.6	1.5
Japan	18	4	12	1.6	23.8	0.8	2.4
Belgium	15	3	5	0.6	6.7	0.9	1.5
Nepal	14	3	12	2.6	4.1	1.6	4.2
South Korea	14	3	8	0.7	15.8	1.5	2.2
India	13	3	0	0.1	3.6	0	0.1
Germany	12	3	2	0.3	6.3	0.3	0.6
Hong Kong	11	2	3	1.2	5.8	0.2	1.4
Qatar	9	2	3	0.2	3.7	1.1	1.3
Other countries	86	18	–	–	–	–	–

absence of any correlation between the two or, to be more precise, they actually reveal a reverse correlation. The most active communities on the Web are not those with the highest numbers (see Figure 1 and Table 2).

The dominance of websites based in the United States is striking. They make up a third of all sites, whereas the United Kingdom represents only one-sixth. Four countries (USA, UK, Australia and Canada) host 59% of all websites. The websites are concentrated over a few countries, and inconsistencies in their representation seem to reflect a standard situation, which needs to be investigated and explained. Whereas the majority of Nepalese expatriates live in India (4 to 6 million), in Gulf countries (about 2 million) and in Malaysia (0.3 million), websites located in the Western world and in developed Asian countries dominate the corpus in a disproportionate manner.

As far as USA-based websites are concerned, it is worthwhile noting that their centrality on the main graph is not corroborated by inbound densities⁹ that are higher than other sites. How are we to explain this? It is due to two statistical factors. First, the ‘American website’ corpus is much more ‘extraverted’ than other corpora, with a low internal density, as in the case of the UK. Second, as it is a sizeable corpus, the number of authoritative websites is also high, which means that they receive a large number of links (see below).

American and British corpora have the lowest internal densities. As each of these countries has a high number of websites, it is no surprise to note that not all these sites are connected to each other. In the USA, groupings are based more on the type of website than on any other criteria: voluntary organization websites are better interconnected than media websites.

In other countries, internal densities are high, revealing that the notion of local community, bounded by its geographical position, is still of considerable importance. Japanese, Australian or Canadian corpora are made up of websites that are all interconnected, save for one or two exceptions, and they are fully connected to the rest of the global corpus. Due to the relatively small size of their communities, the strength of their bonds of friendship is expressed over the Web.

The problem of the lack of representation of the largest communities needs to be addressed. Why are there so few websites run by Nepalese of Indian origin? Why are they not connected to the rest of the corpus? First of all, because Indians of Nepalese origin, who have been living in India for more than one-and-a-half centuries, strive to acquire an Indian identity (Sinha & Subba, 2003). Therefore, they have no sense of belonging to the Nepalese diaspora, or else this is seldom expressed. This explains the absence of any links with the rest of the corpus. And lastly, the many activities of their numerous, often pan-Indian, organizations are not mirrored on the Internet due to insufficient financial capital to ensure their presence.

This situation is comparable to that of Nepalese expatriates working in the Gulf and in Malaysia.¹⁰ Although they amount to at least 2 million, that is, more than 80% of Nepalese migrants working outside Nepal, they represent only 4% of all websites. In Qatar, for example, the Nepalese population is made up mostly of unskilled workers, and despite the existence of more than a hundred voluntary organizations, their participation in the diasporic Web is very poor (of nine websites, only two belong to voluntary organizations). The fact that all these associations are illegal may be a reason for their lack of visibility on the Internet. And finally, migrants in the Gulf can never obtain the citizenship of host countries: they are transnational migrants who see migration as a temporary experience.

When examining the corpus more closely, one realizes that, thanks to the NRNA website and to a lesser extent to that of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Nepal plays a central role as far as its diaspora is concerned, which is shown by the high internal and external densities.

The geographical aspects are not canceled out by the Web but instead enable us to explain the multipolar structure of the corpus, in which interpolarity is obvious. The diasporic Web portrays a postcolonial geography where migrants' movements are disconnected from any historical allegiance. Though the American corpus, because of its weight, is situated at the center of the corpus and surrounded by other communities, the periphery is represented by Indian Nepalese and those residing in the Gulf and in Malaysia. The geographical location of Nepalese expatriates is decisive for understanding power relations in the diasporic Web, which reflect only a differential takeover of speech and power. Some assert themselves in the public space, others remain in the background.

2.2 Authoritative websites and hubs: 'representational' models

A study of authoritative websites and of hubs reveals that most Nepalese diasporic websites are 'representational' (Parham, 2005), in that they are similar to magazines where a country and/or its culture is put on display for its own community or for those outside (see Tables 1–5). It is a top-down model for disseminating information, and is by no means participa-

Table 3. Top ten authoritative Nepali diaspora websites

Website address	Website name	Website category	Language	Location	Number of inbound links
http://nepaljapan.com/	Nepaljapan	Media	Nepali	Japan	83
http://dcnepal.com/	Dcnepal. Live events, news and entertainment	Media	Nepali, English	USA	64
http://nepalipost.com/	Nepalipost	Media	Nepali	USA	51
http://nrn.org.np/	NRN Association	Voluntary organization	English, Nepali	Nepal	50
http://nepalbritain.com/	News portal of global nepali	Media	Nepali	UK	45
http://hknepal.com/	Hong Kong Nepal	Media	Nepali, English	Hong Kong	40
http://rajan.com/	Rajan Nepali anubad	Individual	English	USA	38
http://nepalhorizons.com/	Nepalhorizons Keeping the diaspora connected	Media	Nepali, English	USA	37
http://nepalarab.com/	News, information entertainment and more	Media	Nepali	Saudi Arabia	34
http://www.mofa.gov.np/	Ministry of Foreign Affairs	Institution	English	Nepal	34

tory or open. Information circulates in a unilateral way. Internet users are seldom encouraged to participate, except on a few websites where the forum is the main activity.¹¹

Authoritative websites are those most cited in the whole corpus (see Table 3). There is a particularly high number of inbound links, which demonstrate how well-known a website is on the Web. Using mostly Nepali as the language of communication, five of the ten most-cited websites belong to the ‘media’ category. They provide information in the main and, to a lesser extent, offer entertainment. The NRNA occupies a major position, even the very first, if we take into account all corpus links (inbound and outbound). Its motto, ‘For Nepali by Nepali’, is perfectly illustrated by the way it encourages expatriates to close ranks, and by its centrality. However, its use of English as the main language raises the question of excluding a large part of the population with no access to this language. This discriminatory policy in promoting the organization’s existence shows the limits to inclusion according to the thinkers behind the Nepalese diaspora (see Section 3.3).

Do these authoritative websites serve the Nepalese diaspora? In a certain way, they do show the permanency of links to the country of origin, through media or institutions. Yet, though they eclipse all the others, they are not representative of the Nepalese diasporic Web, where 76% of all sites use only English (see Table 5).

I have not gone into detail about the first 10 hubs of the corpus but instead I draw attention to how the media dominate and how well positioned the NRNA website is.

The Nepalese Embassy in the UK is an intermediary, a *bridge* website, between the general corpus and the British, and especially Gurkha, one. At the local level, a public institution (an embassy) organizes the diaspora.

Ranked twelfth among the most influential websites, *Sajha.com* would deserve more than just a few lines here; it would need a complete study on its own. Contrary to other websites where information is controlled and posted by a webmaster, Sajha, as a forum, is what Parham (2005) calls *network publics*. Just as it offers classified ads (for lodgings, jobs), mutual aid, a platform for heated political discussions and entertainment, it is a more democratic space, less administered than others. It is certainly a place for building the diaspora because it is the fourth website in terms of the use of the term 'diaspora'.

Authoritative websites and most diasporic Nepalese websites correspond to a way of disseminating authoritative discourses made by the elite for the masses. Contrary to the notion of *subaltern publics* used by Parham (2005), for whom marginalized groups can take over the Internet and create *safe spaces*, members of the Nepalese diaspora who post on the Web are already integrated in any of their host societies whose standard of education is high, as in the USA (Bohra-Mishra, 2011). The more marginalized Nepalese expatriates who become unskilled workers in the Gulf and the Indian population of Nepalese descent seldom express themselves on the Web.¹²

Multipolarity is a feature of the Nepalese diasporic Web, although the scattering of nodes (i.e. websites) does not correspond to the geographical dispersion of people of Nepalese origin.

3 Is a Nepalese diaspora in the making on the Web?

After examining the organization of links between websites and understanding the importance of websites based in the West, we look next in a more qualitative way at what makes the websites of Nepalese expatriates peculiar. How do they contribute to the making of the diaspora? Do they condition the existence of the diaspora? I will try and demonstrate the qualities of the diaspora, as seen on the Web. The question of the self-representation of communities and of the possible unity of the diaspora is also addressed. As members of the diaspora are bound by 'mental links' (Ma Mung, 2006: 411), do hypertext links contribute to creating the diaspora's social form?

3.1 Relations with Nepal

Relations with the homeland¹³ have to be taken into account when defining a diaspora (Safran, 1991), since the question of the 'orientation to a real or imagined "homeland" as an authoritative source of value, identity and loyalty' (Brubaker, 2005: 5) is central to diasporic discourses. Whether real (travel, sending remittances, humanitarian or development actions), imaginary or fantasized, links with Nepal should prompt members of the diaspora to openly support their country of origin. The NRNA states, for example, that it is 'committed to creating a global network of Nepalis and streamline their energy and resources so as to make the Diaspora a catalyst of economic and social transformation of our motherland'.¹⁴ The characteristics of voluntary organizations and

virtual links with Nepal should logically reflect the diasporans' tendency to display a 'homeland orientation' (Brubaker, 2005).

Strangely enough, in my corpus, there are few Nepal-oriented voluntary organizations with humanitarian aims. If we count the number of cultural organizations that announce a willingness to 'help Nepal', only 30 of them fall within this category, that is 6% of the total corpus. This contradicts the assertion made by Bohra-Mishra (2011), according to which more than a hundred Nepalese associations in the USA devote themselves to development in Nepal. Comparing fieldwork data and data from the Internet should make it possible to clarify the situation.

One of the paradoxes that the diaspora faces is that, while living in one place, diasporans have to show solidarity and connections with another place (Clifford, 1994). As far as links between websites are concerned, Nepal enjoys the highest inbound density (among all countries), thus showing a certain polarization of websites towards those situated in the country of origin. Showing allegiance to the NRNA and preserving the national culture are important, but committing oneself to one's country seems less important. In the end, this matches the distribution of remittances sent home by migrants, most of which come from the Gulf, Malaysia and India.

3.2 Association websites or the strength of localized communities

As we have seen, the general form of **Graph Nepalese-1** corresponds partly to the geographical position of the Nepalese diasporic website (**Graph Nepalese-3 and Figure 1**). The importance of the locality shows the need for diasporic communities (Tölölyan, 2005) and for voluntary organizations in particular to take root.

Cultural organization websites dominate the corpus, amounting to 35% of voluntary organizations and of the total corpus (Table 4). Once more, websites housed in the USA and in the UK take first place, with 58% of all cultural organization websites. The 'identity–territory–memory tryptich' (Chivallon, 2006: 13) prevails in the Nepalese diasporic affirmation.¹⁵ The organizations' focus on identity issues echoes another NRNA motto: 'Once a Nepali, always a Nepali.' In view of the risks of watering down one's identity and of losing one's bearings in a foreign country, the essentialization of the Nepalese identity is visible in the objectives fixed by organizations' statutes. *Merouk.com* ('my UK'), for example, wants to 'unite the UK Nepalese to preserve, promote and develop Nepalese culture, tradition and literature for an identity of Nepalese establishing a global network'. The question of the Nepalese diasporic culture disrupting the national cultural model has yet to be studied since cultural production has not entered the age of hybridity, which characterizes diaspora according to Hall (1999), but it still belongs to the realm of non-reinterpreted heritage.¹⁶ Apart from these identity-oriented objectives, voluntary organizations support both mutual-help initiatives and the development of local communities based on national belonging. They have the strongest links with the NRN category.

Forty-nine websites of ethnic organizations, half of which are in the USA and the UK, reflect the Nepalese identity policies that have developed over the last two decades. The main Nepalese ethnic groups are well represented on the Web, with the exception of the untouchables (*dalits*), who have only one website. The digital marginalization of *dalits*

Table 4. Types of Nepali diaspora voluntary organization

Type	Number	Organization corpus (%)	Inbound density	Internal density	Outbound density
Cultural	93	35	0.6	1.5	1
Ethnic	49	19	0.4	2.4	0.8
Student	30	11	0.3	12.2	0.2
NRN	25	9.5	0.9	7.8	1.9
Cultural/ humanitarian	15	6	0.9	0.4	0.4
Humanitarian	13	5	0.9	1.2	0.5
Political	10	4	0.2	12	0.6
Literacy	6	2.3	0.7	0	1
Professional	6	2.3	0.2	0	0.4
Religious	5	2	0.3	0	0.1

reflects their social marginalization in migration processes and their poor access to the world of digital information. Should we then speak of an 'indigenous diaspora' (Clifford, 2006) for these groups of ethnic organizations? The question still stands as long as there are strong indigenous demands in Nepal: claiming dispersion to impose autochthony is a stance that requires further investigation.

On all the graphs, the *wnsso.org* student portal forms a distinct group at the bottom of the graphs. It is the only one not to be based on a geographical position. But it also shows the students' marginalization in the diaspora, no doubt because the NRNA does not grant them NRN status.

The number of NRNA websites and those of its local branches amount to 25 and are scattered homogeneously throughout the corpus.¹⁷ They are closely linked and benefit from being well-known. This dual feature shows the importance of the NRNA as an institution and its role in organizing the diaspora.

Finally, the 10 websites representing political parties belong (70%) to the Nepali Congress (center-right), while the others belong to the Nepalese Communist Party (center-left) and to the Maoist Communist Party. The political dimension of the diaspora, which is not only expressed on the parties' websites, is nonetheless an important aspect of expatriates' mobilization, as Bernal (2006) has shown in the Eritrean case. It was expressed in particular in 2005 on diasporic websites when King Gyanendra seized power with a *coup d'état* and put an end to all political freedom in Nepal. The Web was a means of organizing a virtual mobilization but also prompted demonstrations outside Nepalese embassies. The diaspora's national sentiment was valorized.

All voluntary organization websites claim, often in the title of their website, an anchorage point where the community has settled. The locality is of some importance, save for a few websites which try to create virtual communities that have no local bearing, and which dominate the 'type of association' graph (**Graph Nepalese 4**, in the colour section of this Issue)¹⁸ like *nrn.org.np*, *inls.org* (a literary organization) or *wnsso.org* (a student organization). The principle of the diaspora's integration in the host country is highlighted, as shown, for example, by the Association of Nepalese in Midwest America,

which offers online advice called ‘Adjusting to the American Way of Life: Tips for Visitors, Students and Immigrants From Nepal’. Thus, two scales of belonging can be identified: global and local. Websites can thus be considered as places for expressing shared interests and a common identity. Even though discussions are rare – the organizations’ forums are barely active – viewing photographs or films of cultural events enables Internet users to relive moments of conviviality on foreign soil. The visibility of ‘a link culture’ (Diminescu, 2008) and the expression of frontiers between groups are displayed and *mis en scène* by the group to express its own individuality. The diaspora is a process that manifests itself in its relations to difference (Tölölyan, 2007).

3.3 *Diaspora, a domination principle?*

The diaspora can never be a homogeneous group, but its promoters like to portray it as such in order to assert its importance. However, the unity of the diaspora must not be taken for granted. Why would dispersion, exile and co-presence be enough to instill a sense of belonging in an often distant and misunderstood social form (i.e. the diaspora), in individuals who have not necessarily followed the same social and professional trajectories? As we can see in this section, far from uniting the community, the way in which the Nepalese diaspora uses and makes postings on the Web gives the impression that the term ‘diaspora’ has been confiscated for the benefit of an active minority.

The use of English as the main language on the Nepalese diasporic web (61% of all websites use English as their main language) *de facto* discourages a large proportion of non-English-speaking Nepalese expatriates from using these sites (Table 5). English cannot be considered, as in India, to be a unifying language because it is spoken so little, and Nepali remains the national language. Eighty-five percent of Australian websites and 70% of American and British websites are in English. The proportion rises to 85% and to 90% of English as the main language in these latter two countries when Table 4 is consulted. This reflects the dominance of the English language in 80% of voluntary-sector websites. Even 68% of NRN websites use English as their main language. Media websites are the only ones to use Nepali to a high proportion (63%), thus showing the extent to which the national language is important with regard to contact with the homeland. Could English be the language of scattered communities wishing to become integrated in English-speaking countries? Nonetheless, language is a discriminatory tool that does not encourage identification with the diaspora.

Similarly, whereas the term ‘diaspora’ seems relatively neutral and gathers together a group of people, processes for building this social form show that the stakes involving domination are decisive. The domination of American-based websites, like ‘the American Hmong hegemony on the Web’ (Moua, 2009), is the sign of a process whereby a minority

Table 5. Languages used on Nepali diaspora websites

Languages used	English	Nepali	English/Nepali ^a	Nepali/English
Websites (%)	58	17	13	9

^aThe ‘English/Nepali’ category means that English is used more than Nepali.

appropriates a community that is in the making. The working class, the Untouchables and Indians of Nepali origin are rendered invisible on the Web by classes with a higher social and cultural capital, and this reproduces both old and new hierarchies, as observed among the Indian diaspora (Gajjala, 2006).¹⁹ It reflects the perpetuation of caste-based hierarchies in the UK's Nepalese community, where discriminatory practices towards *dalits* are still common (Pariyar, 2011). It might also reflect Sheffer's (2003: 141–142) temporal and linear visions of the types of diaspora. Indians of Nepalese origin would therefore belong to the *dormant* diaspora, the one assimilated to the host society, barely interested in the homeland but inclined to be 'awakened'. The rest of the diasporic population would belong to an *incipient* diaspora, with differences depending on the social groups and the host countries. The making of an active militant diasporic elite which ultimately becomes a lobbying group is not in itself all that uncommon (Tölölyan, 1996). In the case of Nepalese expatriates, however, the study of the Web as a chamber for recording domination practices makes it seem original. Diasporas are never unitary agents (Ragazzi, 2009), although they often claim to be.

During fieldwork carried out in Qatar among poorly qualified Nepalese workers, I never heard them use the word 'diaspora'. On the other hand, local members of the NRNA use the term, not to emphasize their 'multicultural [and hybrid] identities' (Ragazzi, 2009) but in reference to their Nepalese culture, their links with Nepal and their efforts to help their fellow citizens. Although only two of the 470 websites have 'diaspora' in their title, the term appears on the NRNA (NRN equals diaspora) website more than on any other, e.g. *hknepal.com* (Hong Kong Nepal) or the forum *Sajha.com*. This prestigious term (Tölölyan, 2007: 648), which has been appropriated by the expatriate elite (more entrepreneurial than intellectual), is used to refer to oneself and has a performative effect, as Moua (2009) observed among the Hmongs, or Simonin, Watin et al. (2009) among people from the Ile de la Réunion. Using it 'institutes [the Nepalese diaspora] as a diaspora and transforms it as such' (Ma Mung, 2000: 19). The *mise-en-scène* of the term on the Internet helps to federate communities, to think about the group beyond its divisions but also to impose the use of the term 'diaspora' and to wield power over scattered communities. As in autosuggestion, by repeating to ourselves that we form a diaspora, we hope to become one.

Conclusion: the Web as a prestigious tool for diaspora activists

The Nepalese diaspora is a project, a position launched by the elite above who deliver an official discourse that the people below are supposed to follow. Diasporic websites are a tool for implementing this policy of forming the group. They represent a 'category of practice' (Brubaker, 2005: 12) and a means to express allegiance to the country of origin or to the created social form. They contribute to the creation of a nascent diaspora through the links they have forged with each other and through performativity, that is by the mere fact that they exist and call themselves a diaspora. They correspond to a policy of making scattered groups visible and of gathering them under terms (diaspora, NRN), aimed at the group's self-awareness. Internet is a catalyst, proof of the existence of a social group whose objectives to preserve the Nepali culture, to ensure mutual help or to contribute to Nepal's development are said to be shared by all.

The study of the Nepalese diasporic Web shows the high degree of connections between sites, a variety of agents, thus a high level of organization, despite the recent diasporic claims by a majority of transnational migrants. The Nepalese diaspora is emerging because it is at the stage where transnational migrants settle permanently in their host countries, where voluntary-sector organizations are being structured and where links are being created between poles of dispersion. The diaspora comes into existence through action, by formulating a public project.

However, the Nepalese e-diaspora is exclusive and incomplete. Despite the formal membership in NRNA of 2 million workers in the Gulf countries and in Malaysia, they barely have any room to move in the public sphere that the Web represents. Similarly, Indians of Nepalese origin (excluded from NRNs according to its statutes) are practically absent from the diasporic Web in spite of their large number.²⁰ Nevertheless, the over-representation of some agents goes against the NRNA's desire for homogeneity. The hierarchies are reproduced according to social classes and to the host countries. Exposure on the Web contributes to the marginalization of some and helps others to seize power over a social group in order to strengthen their networks and power.

Funding

The *e-Diasporas Atlas* received funding from the Agence National de la Recherche (STIC Contents), the Ecole d'ingénieurs Telecom ParisTech and the Fondation Maison des Sciences de l'Homme Paris.

Notes

- 1 A non-resident Nepalese national is defined as a foreign citizen of Nepalese origin or as a Nepalese citizen residing outside Nepal for more than six months a year.
- 2 Each year, at least 600,000 persons go abroad, mainly in search of work.
- 3 Even if scholars such as AC Sinha, Tanka Subba and Kumar Pradhan (in works referred to by Hutt) had talked about Nepal outside Nepal.
- 4 The analysis was carried out on 470 websites, cropped with the Navicrawler software that 'works essentially by scraping the out-links of the visited websites' (www.e-diaspora.fr). 'The researcher can then incorporate each website into the corpus or can reject it. The logic of exploration induced by Navicrawler combines browsing and crawling. Unlike automatic crawling, it allows the researcher to perceive the context of links and thus to avoid a blackbox effect. At the end of this exploration stage, he is able to export his corpus as a graph in which the nodes represent the websites and the edges stand for the links between them' (ibid.). This method assures the researcher that almost no websites are overlooked. For additional information, see www.e-diaspora.fr.
- 5 Web reference for Graph Nepalese-1 is <http://maps.e-diasporas.fr/index.php?focus=map&map=36§ion=12>. Note that the graphs relating specifically to this article, plus the coloured graphs and subgraphs produced by the other contributors to this Special Issue, are not reproduced within the body of each article, but have been brought together in an appendix section located at the end of the issue and can be accessed at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0539018412456918>. For more details and data the reader may also refer to the e-Diasporas atlas platform, namely for this article at: <http://maps.e-diasporas.fr/index.php?focus=section§ion=12>
- 6 Web reference for Graph Nepalese-2 is <http://maps.e-diasporas.fr/index.php?focus=nodeattribute&graph=62&map=36&nodeattribute=8§ion=12>.

- 7 Web reference for Graph Nepalese-3 is <http://maps.e-diasporas.fr/index.php?focus=nodeattribute&graph=62&map=36&nodeattribute=16§ion=12>
- 8 It is almost impossible to estimate accurately the Nepalese population living abroad.
- 9 *Density* is the number of actual links, or in-graph vocabulary edges, divided by the number of possible edges (min is 0, max is 1). In addition, for a Category 'A', *internal density* is the density within the subgraph composed of 'A' websites; *inbound density* is the density in terms of edges coming from non-A websites to A websites; *outbound density* is the density in terms of edges coming from A websites to non-A websites.
- 10 This is also true for Nepalese working in India.
- 11 Only 6% of all websites, mainly organizations, have an open forum.
- 12 One could test this assertion by taking an interest in social network practices, which are perhaps easier to access than the Web 1.0.
- 13 The question of the representation of Nepal by diaspora members on the Web, notably those born outside the homeland, was addressed by Brouwer (2006) regarding Dutch of Moroccan origin, for whom Morocco is above all a holiday destination.
- 14 Hem Raj Sharma, NRNA, February 2009, Liverpool.
- 15 73% of cultural organization websites have 'Nepal' in their name.
- 16 Only a few websites show the hybrid culture of the younger generation, which does not claim Nepalese roots but a belonging to a global youth culture.
- 17 Officially, the NRNA has 45 branches worldwide.
- 18 Web reference for **Graph Nepalese-4** is <http://maps.e-diasporas.fr/index.php?focus=nodeattribute&graph=62&map=36&nodeattribute=9§ion=12>.
- 19 A sociological study should be undertaken to examine the caste make-up of members of the permanent staff of voluntary organizations.
- 20 In the end isn't this perfectly normal? Why talk of exclusion at the risk of researchers deciding what the diaspora consists in when in fact it is those directly concerned who determine its existence?

References

- Bernal V (2006) Diaspora, cyberspace and political imagination: the Eritrean diaspora online. *Global Networks* 6(2): 161–179.
- Bohra-Mishra P (2011) Nepalese migrants in the United States of America: Perspectives on their exodus, assimilation pattern and commitment to Nepal. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 37(9): 1527–1537.
- Brouwer L (2006) Dutch Moroccan websites: A transnational imagery? *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 32(7): 1153–1168.
- Brubaker R (2005) The 'diaspora' diaspora. *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 28(1): 1–19.
- Bruslé T (2012) What's new in the Gulf? New technologies, consumption and display of modernity among Nepalese workers in Qatar, *e-migrinter* 8: 59–73. Available at: p.16: http://www.mshs.univ-poitiers.fr/migrinter/e-migrinter/201208/e-migrinter2012_08_059.pdf.
- Chivallon C (2006) Diaspora: Ferveur académique autour d'un mot. In: Berthomière W, Chivallon C (eds) *Les Diasporas dans le monde contemporain*. Paris: Karthala-MSHA, 15–27.
- Clifford J (1994) Diasporas. *Cultural Anthropology* 9(3): 302–338.
- Clifford J (2006) Indigenous diasporas. In: Berthomière W, Chivallon C (eds) *Les Diasporas dans le monde contemporain*. Paris: Karthala-MSHA, 49–64.
- De Haas H (2008) Migration and Development, a theoretical perspective. University of Oxford. (International Migration Institute working papers)
- Diminescu D (2008) The connected migrant: An epistemological manifesto. *Social Science Information* 47(4): 565–579.

- Gajjala R (2006) Consuming/producing/inhabiting South-Asian digital diasporas. *New Media & Society* 8(2): 179–185.
- Hall S (1999) Thinking the diaspora: Home-thoughts from abroad. *Small Axe* (6): 1–18.
- Hutt M (1997) Being Nepali without Nepal: Reflections on a South Asian diaspora. In: Gellner DN, Pfaff-Czarnecka J, Whelpton J (eds) *Nationalism and Ethnicity in a Hindu Kingdom: The politics of culture in contemporary Nepal*. Amsterdam: Harwood Academic Publishers, 101–144.
- Ma Mung E (2000) *La Diaspora chinoise, géographie d'une migration*. Gap: Ophrys.
- Ma Mung E (2006) Les Vêtements neufs de la diaspora, digressions sur les paradoxes diasporiques. In: Berthomière W, Chivallon C (eds) *Les Diasporas dans le monde contemporain*. Paris: Karthala-MSHA, 409–415.
- Moua M (2009) La Dimension symbolique des TIC et l'auto-réalisation collective: Le cas de la diaspora hmong à travers internet. *TIC&Société* 3(1–2): 126–150.
- Parham AA (2005) Internet, place, and public sphere in diaspora communities. *Diaspora* 14(2/3): 349–380.
- Pariyar M (2011) Cast(e) in Bone: The perpetuation of social hierarchy among Nepalis in Britain. University of Oxford, Centre on Migration, Policy and Society. Available at: http://www.compas.ox.ac.uk/fileadmin/files/Publications/working_papers/WP_2011/WP1185%20Pariyar.pdf
- Pradhan K (1991) *The Gorkha Conquests: The process and consequences of the unification of Nepal, with particular reference to eastern Nepal*. Calcutta: Oxford University Press.
- Ragazzi F (2009) *The Invention of the Croatian Diaspora: Unpacking the politics of 'diaspora' during the war in Yugoslavia*. Global Migration and Transnational Politics, Center for Global Studies, George Mason University. Available at: http://cgs.gmu.edu/publications/gmtpwp/gmtp_wp_10.pdf.
- Safran W (1991) Diasporas in modern societies: Myths of homeland and return. *Diaspora* 1(1): 83–99.
- Scopsi C (2009) Les Sites web diasporiques: un nouveau genre médiatique? *TIC&Société* 3(1–2): 81–100.
- Sharma JR, Sharma S (2011) *Enumeration Migration in Nepal: A review*. Kathmandu: Center for the Study of Labour and Mobility.
- Sheffer G (2003) *Diaspora Politics: At home abroad*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Simonin J, Watin M, et al. (2009) Comment devient-on Réunionnais du monde? Une diaspora performée par internet. *TIC&Société* 3(1–2): 102–124.
- Sinha AC, Subba TB (eds) (2003) *The Nepalis in Northeast India: A community in search of Indian identity*. New Delhi: Indus Publishing Company.
- Taylor J (2006) *International Migration and Economic Development*. Proceedings of the International Symposium on International Migration and Development. Turin: UN. Available at: www.un.org/esa/population/migration/turin/Symposium_Turin_files/P09_SYMP_Taylor.pdf
- Tölölyan K (1996) Rethinking *diaspora(s)*: Stateless power in the transnational movement. *Diaspora* 5(1): 3–36.
- Tölölyan K (2005) Restoring the logic of the sedentary to diaspora studies. In: Anteby-Yemini L, Berthomière W, Sheffer G (eds) *Les Diasporas: 2000 ans d'histoire*. Rennes: Presses Universitaires de Rennes, 137–148.
- Tölölyan K (2007) The contemporary discourse of diaspora studies. *Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East* 27(3): 647–655.
- Winkin Y (2006) NTIC et nouvelles diasporas: usages privés, usages publics. In: Berthomière W, Chivallon C (eds) *Les Diasporas dans le monde contemporain*. Paris: Karthala-MSHA, 139–143.

Author biography

Tristan Bruslé is a researcher with the Centre for Himalayan Studies (CNRS, France). He is interested in all forms of Himalayan population mobility from the perspective of migration and diaspora studies. After completing his PhD on the migratory processes and the relations to space of Nepalese temporary workers in India, he has widened his research to labor migrations in the Gulf and to Indian communities of Nepali origin. The study of Nepalese diaspora websites is part of a larger project which focuses on intellectual and cultural productions (songs, films) by Nepalese expatriates.